arXiv:1604.07260v1 [math.FA] 25 Apr 2016

CHARACTERIZATION OF GREEDY BASES IN BANACH SPACES

PABLO M. BERNÁ, ÓSCAR BLASCO

Abstract. We shall present a new characterization of greedy bases and 1-greedy bases in terms of certain functionals defined using distances to one dimensional subspaces generated by the basis. We also introduce a new property that unifies the notions of unconditionality and democracy and allows us to recover a better dependence on the constants.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space and let $\mathcal{B} = (e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a semi-normalized Schauder basis of $\mathbb{X}$ with biorthogonal functionals $(e_n^*)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, i.e, $0 < \inf_n \|e_n\| \leq \sup_n \|e_n\| < \infty$ and for each $x \in \mathbb{X}$ there exists a unique expansion $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n^*(x)e_n$. As usual $supp(x) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : e_n^*(x) \neq 0\}$, $|A|$ stands for the cardinal of A, $P_A(x) = \sum_{n\in A} e_n^*(x)e_n$ and $1_A = \sum_{n\in A} e_n$. Throughout the paper, we write $\tilde{x} = (e_n^*(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in c_0(\mathbb{N})$, $\|\tilde{x}\|_{\infty} = \sup_n |e_n^*(x)|$ and $xy = 0$ whenever $supp(x) \cap supp(y) = \emptyset$. We use the notation $\mathbb{X}_c$ for the subspace of $\mathbb{X}$ of elements with finite support, i.e. $x \in \mathbb{X}$ and $|supp(x)| < \infty$ or $\tilde{x} \in c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$. Also for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $|A| = m$ and $(\varepsilon_n)_{n\in A} \in \{\pm 1\}$, we denote by $1_{\varepsilon A} = \sum_{n\in A} \varepsilon_n e_n$, by $[1_{\varepsilon A}]$ the one-dimensional subspace generated by $1_{\varepsilon A}$ and by $[e_n, n \in A]$ the m-dimensional subspace generated by $\{e_n, n \in A\}$.

Recall that a basis $\mathcal{B}$ in a Banach space $\mathbb{X}$ is called *unconditional* if any rearrangement of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n^*(x)e_n$ converges in norm to x for any $x \in \mathbb{X}$. This turns out to be equivalent the fact that the projections P_A are uniformly bounded on all sets A, i.e. there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|P_A(x)\| \leq C\|x\|, \quad x \in \mathbb{X} \text{ and } \ A \subset \mathbb{N}. \tag{1}$$

In such a case we say that $\mathcal{B}$ is a C-suppression unconditional basis. The smallest constant that satisfies (1) is the so-called *suppression constant* and it is denoted by K_{su}. Moreover, we have that

$$K_{su} = \sup\{\|P_A\| : A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \text{ is finite}\} = \sup\{\|P_A\| : A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \text{ is cofinite}\}.$$

In particular, for unconditional bases one has that $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_{\pi(n)}^*(x)e_{\pi(n)}$ where $\pi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is chosen so that $|e_{\pi(n)}^*(x)| \geq |e_{\pi(n+1)}^*(x)|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For each $x \in \mathbb{X}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, S.V.Konyagin and V.N.Temlyakov defined in [7] a *greedy sum* of x of order m by

$$G_m(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} e_{\pi(n)}^*(x)e_{\pi(n)},$$

where π is a *greedy ordering*, that is $\pi : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a permutaion such that $supp(x) = \{j : e_j^*(x) \neq 0\} \subseteq \pi(\mathbb{N})$ and $|e_{\pi(i)}^*(x)| \geq |e_{\pi(j)}^*(x)|$ for $i \leq j$. Any sequence $(G_m(x))_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is called

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46B15, 41A65.

Key words and phrases: thresholding greedy algorithm, unconditional basis, Property (A).

The first author was supported by GVA PROMETEOII/2013/013. The second author was supported by the Spanish Project MTM2014-53009-P.

a *greedy approximation* of x. Of course we can have several greedy sums of the same order whenever the sequence $(e_j^*(x))_{j=1}^\infty$ contains several terms with the same absolute value.

Given $x = \sum_{i=1}^\infty e_i^*(x)e_i \in \mathbb{X}$, we define the *natural greedy ordering* for x as the map $\rho : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $supp(x) \subset \rho(\mathbb{N})$ and so that if $j < k$ then either $|e^*_{\rho(j)}(x)| > |e^*_{\rho(k)}(x)|$ or $|e^*_{\rho(j)}(x)| = |e^*_{\rho(k)}(x)|$ and $\rho(j) < \rho(k)$. The *m-th greedy sum* of x is

$$\mathcal{G}_m[\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{B}](x) := \mathcal{G}_m(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m e^*_{\rho(j)}(x)e_{\rho(j)},$$

and the sequence of maps $\{\mathcal{G}_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is known as the *greedy algorithm* associated to $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathbb{X}$. With this notation out of the way we have that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\| = 0, \tag{2}$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{X}$ whenever $\mathcal{B}$ is unconditional.

Konyagin and Temlyakov (see [7]) also introduced the term of *quasi-greedy basis* for the basis satisfying (2) and later Wojtaszczyk (see [9]) proved that condition (2) is actually equivalent to the existence of a universal constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq C\|x\|,\ x \in \mathbb{X},\ m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3}$$

Of course this means for a (possibly different) constant that

$$\|\mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq C\|x\|,\ x \in \mathbb{X},\ m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4}$$

Some authors denote the *quasi-greedy constant* C_{qg} the best one satisfying (4) while others use the one satisfying both (3) and (4). Since $\mathcal{G}_m(x) = P_\Lambda(x)$ for given Λ with $|\Lambda| = m$, one has that any C-suppression unconditional basis is also C-suppression quasi-greedy basis. Hence $C_{qg} \leq K_{su}$.

Recently Albiac and Ansorena ([1, Theorem 2.1]) showed that $\mathcal{B}$ is 1-suppression unconditional if and only if $\sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \|\mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq \|x\|$ if and only if $\sup_{m,k\in\mathbb{N}}\{\|\mathcal{G}_m(x)\|, \|x-\mathcal{G}_k(x)\|\} \leq \|x\|$.

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the *m-term error of approximation* with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ is defined as

$$\sigma_m(x, \mathcal{B}) = \sigma_m(x) := \inf\{d(x, [e_n, n \in A])\ :\ A \subset \mathbb{N}, |A| = m\}.$$

Clearly $\sigma_m(x) \leq \|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\|$. Bases where the greedy algorithm is efficient in the sense that the error we make when approximating x by $\mathcal{G}_m(x)$ is comparable with $\sigma_m(x)$ were first considered in [7] and called greedy bases. Namely a basis $\mathcal{B}$ is said to be *greedy* if there exists an absolute constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$\|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq C\sigma_m(x),\ \forall x \in \mathbb{X},\ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{5}$$

In this case, we will say that $\mathcal{B}$ is C-greedy. The smallest constant C that satisfies (5) is the *greedy constant* and is denoted by C_g.

In the same paper, a basis $\mathcal{B}$ was said to be *democratic* if there is a constant $D \geq 1$ such that

$$\|1_A\| \leq D\,\|1_B\| \tag{6}$$

for all $A, B \subset \mathbb{N}$ finite and the same cardinality. The smallest constant appearing in (6) is called the *democracy constant* and $\mathcal{B}$ is said to be a D-democratic basis.

Theorem KT ([7, 8])
(i) If $\mathcal{B}$ is a C-greedy basis then $\mathcal{B}$ is C-democratic and C-suppression unconditional.

(ii) If $\mathcal{B}$ is K_{su}- suppression unconditional and D-democratic then $\mathcal{B}$ is $(K_{su}+K_{su}^3D)$-greedy.

Notice that the dependence on the constants is not good enough since 1-suppression unconditional and 1-democratic only gives 2-greedy. To characterize 1-greedy bases, Albiac and Wojtaszczyk (see [3]) introduced the so-called Property (A). For each $|S| < \infty$ and $x = \sum_{n\in S} e_n^*(x)e_n \in \mathbb{X}$, we write $n_{max}(x) := \max\{n : n \in S\}$ and $M(x) := \{n \in S : |e_n^*(x)| = \max_m |e_m^*(x)|\}$. A basis is said *to have Property (A)* whenever

$$\|x\| = \|\sum_{n\in M(x)} \theta_n(x)e_n^*(x)e_{\pi(n)} + (x - P_{M(x)}x)\|, \tag{7}$$

for all $\pi : S \to [1, n_{max}(x)] \cap \mathbb{N}$ injective map such that $\pi(j) = j$ if $j \notin M(x)$ and $\theta_n(x) \in \{\pm 1\}$ with $\theta_n(x) = 1$ whenever $\pi(n) = n$ for $n \in M(x)$.

Theorem AW ([3, Theorem 3.4]) *Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{B}$ a Schauder basis. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is a 1-greedy basis if and only if $\mathcal{B}$ is 1-suppression unconditional and it has Property (A).*

It has been recently shown by Albiac and Ansorena (see [2, Theorem 3.1]) that the bases with Property (A) coincide with the almost-greedy bases with $C_{ag} = 1$, that is to say

$$\|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq \inf_{|A|=m} \|x - P_A(x)\|, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{8}$$

Later on Theorem KT and Theorem AW were generalized in [4] using the so-called Property (A) with constant C (which has been also called *C-symmetric for largest coefficients* in [2]) where the equality (7) is replaced for an inequality

$$\|x\| \leq C\|\sum_{n\in M(x)} \theta_n(x)e_n^*(x)e_{\pi(n)} + (x - P_{M(x)}x)\|. \tag{9}$$

Theorem D ([4, Theorem 2])

(i) If $\mathcal{B}$ is a C-greedy basis then $\mathcal{B}$ is C-suppression unconditional and it has Property (A) with constant C.

(ii) If $\mathcal{B}$ is K_{su}- suppression unconditional and Property (A) with constant C then $\mathcal{B}$ is K_{su}^2C-greedy.

Let us first reformulate Property (A) in terms useful for our purposes (see [4]).

Lemma 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Schauder basis of $\mathbb{X}$. The basis $\mathcal{B}$ has the Property (A) with constant C if and only if*

$$\|x + 1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq C\|x + 1_{\varepsilon' B}\|$$

for any $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \{\pm 1\}$, $|A| = |B|$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $x \in \mathbb{X}_c$ with $supp(x) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$ and $\|\tilde{x}\|_\infty \leq 1$.

Proof. Assume $\mathcal{B}$ has Property (A) with constant C. For each $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \{\pm 1\}$, A, B and x such that $|A| = |B|$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $\|\tilde{x}\|_\infty \leq 1$ with $supp(x) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$, we write $y = 1_{\varepsilon A} + x$. Hence $M(y) = A \cup \{n \in supp(x) : |e_n^*(x)| = 1\}$. Let $\pi : A \to B$ be a bijection and set $\theta_n(y) = \varepsilon'_{\pi(n)}$ for $n \in A$. Hence $\|y\| \leq C\|1_{\varepsilon' B} + x\|$.

Conversely given $x \in \mathbb{X}_c$ with $supp(x) = S$ and $\alpha = \max\{|e_n^*(x)| : n \in S\}$ one can consider, for each π and θ in the conditions above, the set $A = \{j \in M(x) : \pi(j) \neq j\}$ and define

$\varepsilon_n = \frac{e_n^*(x)}{|e_n^*(x)|}$ for each $n \in A$. Now, selecting $B = \pi(A)$ and $\varepsilon'_n = \theta_n(x)$ for $n \in B$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &= \alpha \left\| 1_{\varepsilon A} + \frac{1}{\alpha}(x - P_A x) \right\| \\ &\leq C\alpha \left\| 1_{\varepsilon' B} + \frac{1}{\alpha}(x - P_A x) \right\| \\ &= C \left\| \sum_{n \in A} \theta_n(x) e_n^*(x) e_{\pi(n)} + (x - P_A x) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

We would like to introduce here two properties which encode the notions of unconditionality and democracy or unconditionality and Property (A) at once.

Definition 1.2. A Schauder basis $\mathcal{B}$ is said to have *Property* (Q) with constant C whenever

$$\|x + 1_A\| \leq C\|x + y + 1_B\| \tag{10}$$

for any $|A| = |B|$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{X}_c$ such that $xy = 0$, $\|\tilde{x}\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $supp(x+y) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$.

Remark 1.3. *Clearly Property (Q) with constant C on a basis $\mathcal{B}$ implies that $\mathcal{B}$ is C-democratic and C-suppression unconditional. Conversely if $\mathcal{B}$ is D-democratic and C-suppression unconditional then $\mathcal{B}$ has Property (Q) with constant $C(1 + D)$.*

Definition 1.4. Let $z \in \mathbb{X}_c$. We write $\Gamma_0 = \mathbb{X}_c$ and for $z \neq 0$ we define

$$\Gamma_z = \{y \in \mathbb{X}_c : zy = 0, |supp(z)| \leq |\{n : |e_n^*(y)| = 1\}|\} \tag{11}$$

Definition 1.5. A Schauder basis $\mathcal{B}$ is said to have *Property* (Q^*) with constant C whenever

$$\|x + z\| \leq C\|x + y\| \tag{12}$$

for any $x, z, y \in \mathbb{X}_c$ such that $xz = 0$, $xy = 0$, $\max\{\|\tilde{x}\|_\infty, \|\tilde{z}\|_\infty\} \leq 1$ and $y \in \Gamma_z$.

Remark 1.6. *It is clear that Property (Q^*) implies that $\mathcal{B}$ is suppression unconditional and satisfies the Property (A) with the same constant.*

Conversely if $\mathcal{B}$ is K-suppression unconditional and it has Property (A) with constant C then $\mathcal{B}$ has Property (Q^*) with constant KC.

Indeed, let $x, z, y \in \mathbb{X}_c$ such that $xz = 0$, $xy = 0$, $\max\{\|\tilde{x}\|_\infty, \|\tilde{z}\|_\infty\} \leq 1$ and $y \in \Gamma_z$. If $z = 0$ we have $\|x\| \leq K\|x + y\|$ using that the basis is K-suppression unconditional. Assume now that $z \neq 0$ with $A = supp(z)$. Select $B \subseteq \{n : |e_n^*(y)| = 1\}$ with $|B| = |A|$ and $\varepsilon'_n = \frac{e_n^*(y)}{e_n^*(y)}$ for $n \in B$. Therefore

$$\|x + 1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq C\|x + 1_{\varepsilon' B}\| \leq CK\|x + y\|.$$

Notice that $\|\tilde{z}\|_\infty \leq 1$ implies that $z \in co(\{1_{\varepsilon A} : |\varepsilon_n| = 1\})$. Hence $x + z = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j (x + 1_{\varepsilon^{(j)} A})$ for some $|\varepsilon_n^{(j)}| = 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda_j \leq 1$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j = 1$ and we obtain $\|x + z\| \leq CK\|x + y\|$. □

We shall prove in the paper that the Property (Q) and Property (Q^*) are actually equivalent (see Theorem 3.4).

In this paper we also introduce two functionals depending only on distances to one dimensional subspaces which allow us to characterize the greedy bases and 1-greedy bases.

Definition 1.7. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a basis in a Banach space $\mathbb{X}$, $x \in \mathbb{X}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We define

$$\mathcal{D}_m(x, \mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{D}_m(x) := \inf\{d(x, [1_A]) : \ A \subset \mathbb{N}, |A| = m\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}^*_m(x, \mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{D}^*_m(x) := \inf\{d(x, [1_{\varepsilon A}]) : \ (\varepsilon_n) \in \{\pm 1\}, A \subset \mathbb{N}, |A| = m\}.$$

In particular

$$\mathcal{D}^*_m(x, \mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{D}^*_m(x) := \inf\{\|x - \alpha(1_{A_1} - 1_{A_2})\| : \ |A_1 \cup A_2| = m, A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Of course $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}$ one has

$$\sigma_m(x) \leq \mathcal{D}^*_m(x) \leq \mathcal{D}_m(x) \leq \|x\|.$$

Our aim is to show that greedy bases can be actually defined using the functionals $\mathcal{D}^*_m$ or $\mathcal{D}_m$ instead of σ_m and that the use of the Property (Q^*) allows to improve the dependence of the constants.

Our main results establish (see Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.6) that the conditions

$$\|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq C\mathcal{D}_m(x), \ \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \ \forall m \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq C\mathcal{D}^*_m(x), \ \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \ \forall m \in \mathbb{N},$$

implies the Property (Q) and Property (Q^*) with constant C respectively and also that bases having Property (Q^*) with constant C are C^2-greedy bases. This improves the constants in Theorem D and recover Theorem AW. Combining the results above one gets the following chain of equivalent formulations of greedy bases.

Corollary 1.8. *Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{B}$ a Schauder basis of $\mathbb{X}$. The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $\mathcal{B}$ is greedy.

(ii) There exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq C\mathcal{D}^*_m(x), \ \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(iii) There exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq C\mathcal{D}_m(x), \ \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(iv) $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies the Q-property.

(v) $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies the Q^*-property.

(vi) $\mathcal{B}$ is unconditional and democratic.

Corollary 1.9. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Schauder basis of $\mathbb{X}$. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is 1-greedy if only if $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies the Q^*-property with constant 1 if and only if $\mathcal{B}$ is 1-unconditional and it has Property (A) with constant $C = 1$.*

Our proofs will follow closely the ideas in [2, 3, 4, 7].

2. Some properties of the new functionals $\mathcal{D}_m$ and $\mathcal{D}_m^*$

Of course $\mathcal{D}_1(x) = \mathcal{D}_1^*(x) = \|x - \mathcal{G}_1(x)\| = \|x - e^*_{\rho(1)}(x)e_{\rho(1)}\|$. However calculating the functionals $\mathcal{D}_m(\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{D}_m^*(\cdot)$ for $m \geq 2$ is not easy in general. Let us study the situation for $\mathbb{X} = \ell^p$ and concrete elements $x \in \mathbb{X}$. We shall use the following elementary lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \geq N$. Define, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq k \leq N$,*

$$H(\alpha, k) = |1-\alpha|^p k + |\alpha|^p (m-k) + (N-k)$$

and, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq k_1 + k_2 \leq N$,

$$L(\alpha, k_1, k_2) = |1-\alpha|^p k_1 + |1+\alpha|^p k_2 + |\alpha|^p (m-(k_1+k_2)) + (N-(k_1+k_2)).$$

Then

$$\min_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}, 1\leq k\leq N} H(\alpha, k) = \min_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}, 1\leq k_1+k_2\leq N} L(\alpha, k_1, k_2) = N\left(1 + \left(\frac{m-N}{N}\right)^{-1/(p-1)}\right)^{-(p-1)}.$$

Proof. Using that $H(\alpha, k) \geq H(|\alpha|, k)$ and $L(\alpha, k_1, k_2) = L(-\alpha, k_2, k_1)$ we can restrict to consider $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Also since $(\alpha-1)^p k + \alpha^p(m-k)$ and $(\alpha-1)^p k_1 + (1+\alpha)^p k_2 + \alpha^p k_3$ are increasing for $\alpha \geq 1$, the minima are achieved over $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $0 \leq k, k_1, k_2 \leq N$ and $k_1 + k_2 \leq N$, we write $H(\alpha, k) = H_\alpha(k) = J_k(\alpha)$ that is

$$H_\alpha(k) = \Big((1-\alpha)^p - \alpha^p - 1\Big)k + N + \alpha^p m,$$

Similarly we write $L(\alpha, k_1, k_2) = L_\alpha(k_1, k_2)$ that is

$$L_\alpha(k_1, k_2) = \Big((1-\alpha)^p - \alpha^p - 1\Big)k_1 + \Big((1+\alpha)^p - \alpha^p - 1\Big)k_2 + N + \alpha^p m.$$

Since $(1-\alpha)^p \leq \alpha^p + 1$ and $(1+\alpha)^p \geq \alpha^p + 1$ we obtain that

$$\min\{L_\alpha(k_1, k_2) : 0 \leq k_1 + k_2 \leq N\} = \min\{H_\alpha(k) : 0 \leq k \leq N\} = (1-\alpha)^p N + \alpha^p(m-N).$$

Now the $\min_{0\leq\alpha\leq 1} J_N(\alpha)$ is achieved at $\alpha_{min} = (1 + (\frac{m-N}{N})^{\frac{1}{p-1}})^{-1}$ and

$$J_N(\alpha_{min}) = N(1 + (\frac{m-N}{N})^{-\frac{1}{p-1}})^{-(p-1)}.$$

□

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\mathbb{X} = \ell^p$ for some $1 < p < \infty$ and $\mathcal{B}$ the canonical basis. If $B \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $|B| = N$ then*

$$\mathcal{D}_m(1_B) = \mathcal{D}_m^*(1_B) = (N-m)^{1/p}, \;\; m \leq N, \tag{13}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_m(1_B) = \mathcal{D}_m^*(1_B) = N^{1/p}\left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{N} - 1\right)^{-1/(p-1)}\right)^{-1/p'}, \;\; m \geq N, \tag{14}$$

where $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$.

Proof. Assume first that $m \leq N$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\varepsilon_n| = 1$ and $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $|A| = m$. Set $1_{\varepsilon A} = 1_{A_1} - 1_{A_2}$. Observe that

$$\|1_B - \alpha 1_{\varepsilon A}\|^p = |1-\alpha|^p \|1_{A_1\cap B}\|^p + |1+\alpha|^p \|1_{A_2\cap B}\|^p + |\alpha|^p \|1_{A\setminus B}\|^p + \|1_{B\setminus A}\|^p. \tag{15}$$

In particular

$$\|1_B - \alpha 1_A\|^p = |1-\alpha|^p \|1_{A\cap B}\|^p + |\alpha|^p \|1_{A\setminus B}\|^p + \|1_{B\setminus A}\|^p. \tag{16}$$

Therefore $\|1_B - \alpha 1_{\varepsilon A}\| \geq \|1_{B\setminus A}\| \geq (N-m)^{1/p}$. This gives $\mathcal{D}_m^*(1_B) \geq (N-m)^{1/p}$.

On the other hand, choosing $A \subseteq B$ and $\alpha = 1$ one concludes that $(N-m)^{1/p} = \|1_B - 1_A\| \geq \mathcal{D}_m(1_B)$. Therefore we obtain (13).

Assume now that $m \geq N$. Denoting $k = |A \cap B| = \|1_{A\cap B}\|^p$, $k_1 = |A_1 \cap B| = \|1_{A_1\cap B}\|^p$ and $k_2 = |A_1 \cap B| = \|1_{A_2\cap B}\|^p$, we can apply (15) and (16) together with Lemma 2.1 to obtain (14). □

Remark 2.3. *Similar arguments show that for $\mathbb{X} = \ell^1$ and $\mathcal{B}$ the canonical basis and $B \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $|B| = N$ one has* $\mathcal{D}_m(1_B) = \begin{cases} N-m, & m \leq N; \\ m-N, & N \leq m \leq 2N; \\ N, & m \geq 2N. \end{cases}$

For Hilbert spaces and for orthonormal bases one can compute the functionals explicitely using the inner product.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\mathbb{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{B} = (e_n)_n$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{H}$. Then, for $x \in \mathbb{H}$,*

$$\mathcal{D}_m(x) = \sqrt{\|x\|^2 - \frac{1}{m}\sup\{\langle x, 1_A\rangle^2 : |A| = m\}},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_m^*(x) = \sqrt{\|x\|^2 - \frac{1}{m}\sup\{\langle x, 1_{\varepsilon A}\rangle^2 : |A| = m, (\varepsilon_n) \in \{\pm 1\}\}}.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $(\varepsilon_n) \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $|A| = m$. Then

$$\|x - \alpha 1_{\varepsilon A}\|^2 \;=\; \|x\|^2 - 2\langle x, \alpha 1_{\varepsilon A}\rangle + \alpha^2 |A|.$$

Therefore the minimum of $\|x - \alpha 1_{\varepsilon A}\|^2$ is achieved at $\alpha_0 = \dfrac{\sum_{k\in A}\varepsilon_k e_k^*(x)}{n}$ and its value is $\|x\|^2 - \dfrac{\left(\langle x, \alpha 1_{\varepsilon A}\rangle\right)^2}{n}$. Taking infimum over the corresponding families we obtain the result. □

Let us point out that Proposition 2.4 gives

$$\lim_{m\longrightarrow\infty} \mathcal{D}_m(1_B) = \lim_{m\longrightarrow\infty} \mathcal{D}_m^*(1_B) = \|1_B\|$$

for any finite set B for $\mathbb{X} = \ell^p$ and the canonical basis $\mathcal{B}$. In fact this holds true also for any vector x in Hilbert spaces an any orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B}$.

Theorem 2.5. *If $\mathbb{H}$ is a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{B} = (e_n)_n$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{H}$, then*

$$\lim_{m\longrightarrow\infty} \mathcal{D}_m(x) = \lim_{m\longrightarrow\infty} \mathcal{D}_m^*(x) = \|x\|, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D}_m^*(x) \leq \mathcal{D}_m(x) \leq \|x\|$, it suffices to see that $\lim_{m\to\infty} \mathcal{D}_m^*(x) = \|x\|$. Assume first that $x \in \mathbb{X}_c$ and $supp(x) = B$ with $N = |B|$. Since, for each $(\varepsilon_n) \in \{\pm 1\}$ and A such that $|A| = m$, we have

$$\frac{1}{|A|}\langle x, 1_{\varepsilon A}\rangle^2 = \frac{1}{|A|}\left(\sum_{k\in A\cap B} \varepsilon_k e_k^*(x)\right)^2 \leq \|x\|^2 \frac{|A\cap B|}{|A|} \leq \frac{N\|x\|^2}{m}.$$

From Proposition 2.4 we conclude that

$$\|x\|\sqrt{1 - N/m} \leq \mathcal{D}_m^*(x) \leq \|x\|,$$

which gives the result for $x \in \mathbb{X}_c$.

For general $x \in \mathbb{X}$, given $\varepsilon > 0$, take first $y \in \mathbb{X}_c$ with $\|x - y\| < \varepsilon/2$ and observe that

$$\mathcal{D}_m^*(x) \geq \mathcal{D}_m^*(y) - \|x - y\|,$$

to conclude that

$$\lim_m \mathcal{D}_m^*(x) \geq \|y\| - \varepsilon/2 \geq \|x\| - \varepsilon.$$

Taking limit as ε goes to 0, we obtain the result. □

3. Bases with Property (Q) and (Q^*)

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{B}$ a Schauder basis of $\mathbb{X}$. The following statements are equivalent:*

(i)There exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq C\mathcal{D}_m(x), \ \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(ii) $\mathcal{B}$ has Property (Q).

(iii) $\mathcal{B}$ is a greedy basis.

Proof. Due to Remark 1.3 and Theorem KV only the implication (i) ⇒ (ii) requires a proof.

Assume (i). We shall see first that the basis is democratic. Let A, B with $|A| = |B| = n$ and $m = |A \setminus B| = |B \setminus A|$. Define, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $x = (1+\varepsilon)1_{A\setminus B} + 1_B$ and observe that $\mathcal{G}_m(x) = (1+\varepsilon)1_{A\setminus B}$. Hence,

$$\|1_B\| = \|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq C\mathcal{D}_m(x) \leq C\|x - 1_{B\setminus A}\| \leq C\|1_A\| + C\varepsilon\|1_{A\cap B}\|.$$

Now take the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$ to complete the argument.

Let us now prove the unconditionality of $\mathcal{B}$. Let $x \in \mathbb{X}_c$ and $supp(x) = B$. Let $A \subseteq B$ and write $m = |B \setminus A|$. Select $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\alpha > \sup_{j\in A}|e_j^*(x)| + \sup_{j\in B\setminus A}|e_j^*(x)|,$$

and define

$$y = x + \alpha 1_{B\setminus A} = \sum_{j\in B\setminus A}(\alpha + e_j^*(x))e_j + \sum_{j\in A} e_j^*(x)e_j.$$

Hence $\mathcal{G}_m(y) = \sum_{j\in B\setminus A}(\alpha + e_j^*(x))e_j$ and $P_A(x) = y - \mathcal{G}_m(y)$. Then,

$$\|P_A(x)\| = \|y - \mathcal{G}_m(y)\| \leq C\mathcal{D}_m(y) \leq C\|y - \alpha 1_{B\setminus A}\| = C\|x\|.$$

□

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a basis of $\mathbb{X}$. The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) There exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|x + 1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq C\|x + 1_{\varepsilon' B} + y\| \tag{17}$$

for any A, B such that $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $|A| = |B|$, any $(\varepsilon_n)_{n\in A}, (\varepsilon'_n)_{n\in B} \in \{\pm 1\}$ and any $x, y \in \mathbb{X}_c$ such that $xy = 0$, $\|\tilde{x}\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $(A \cup B) \cap (supp(x + y)) = \emptyset$.

(ii) $\mathcal{B}$ has Property (Q^*) with constant C.

(iii) There exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|x\| \leq C\|x - P_A(x) + ty\| \tag{18}$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{X}_c$, $t \geq \|\tilde{x}\|_\infty$, finite set A and $y \in \Gamma_{P_A(x)}$ with $xy = 0$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{X}_c$ with pairwise disjoint supports with $\max\{\|\tilde{x}\|_\infty, \|\tilde{z}\|_\infty\} \leq 1$ and $y \in \Gamma_z$.

For $z = 0$ we apply (17) with $A = B = \emptyset$ to obtain $\|x\| \leq C\|x + y\|$.

For $z \neq 0$, denote $A = supp(z)$ and $B_1 = \{n \in supp(y) : |e_n^*(y)| = 1\}$. Since $|B_1| \geq |A|$ we select $B \subseteq B_1$ with $|B| = |A|$ and write $y = P_B(y) + P_{B^c}(y) = 1_{\varepsilon' B} + P_{B^c}(y)$ where $\varepsilon'_n = \frac{e_n^*(y)}{|e_n^*(y)|}$ for $n \in B$. From (17) we have

$$\|x + 1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq C\|x + 1_{\varepsilon' B} + P_{B^c}(y)\| = C\|x + y\|, \quad \forall(\varepsilon_n) \in \pm 1.$$

Notice that $\|\tilde{z}\|_\infty \leq 1$ implies that $z \in co(\{1_{\varepsilon A} : |\varepsilon_n| = 1\})$. Hence $x + z = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j (x + 1_{\varepsilon^{(j)} A})$ for some $|\varepsilon_n^{(j)}| = 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda_j \leq 1$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j = 1$ and we obtain $\|x + z\| \leq C\|x + y\|$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Let $x, y \in \mathbb{X}_c$ with $xy = 0$, $t \geq \|\tilde{x}\|_\infty$ and a finite set A with $y \in \Gamma_{P_A(x)}$.

In the case $A \cap supp(x) = \emptyset$ we have $P_A x = 0$ and from (12) one gets $\|\frac{x}{t}\| \leq C\|\frac{x}{t} + u\|$ for any $u \in \mathbb{X}_c$ with $xu = 0$.

In the case $A \cap supp(x) \neq \emptyset$, let $x_1 = \frac{x}{t} - P_A(\frac{x}{t})$, $z_1 = P_A(\frac{x}{t})$ and $y_1 = y$. Since $\max\{\|\tilde{x}_1\|_\infty, \|\tilde{z}_1\|_\infty\} \leq 1$ and $y \in \Gamma_{z_1}$ we can apply (12) to obtain

$$\|x\| = t\|x_1 + z_1\| \leq C\|x - P_A(x) + ty\|.$$

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let two finite and disjoint sets A and B with $|A| = |B|$, $(\varepsilon_n)_{n\in A}, (\varepsilon'_n)_{n\in B} \in \{\pm 1\}$, $x, y \in \mathbb{X}_c$ such that $\|\tilde{x}\|_\infty \leq 1$ with $xy = 0$ and $(A \cup B) \cap (supp(x) \cup supp(y)) = \emptyset$. We apply (18) for $t = 1$, the set A and $u, v \in \mathbb{X}_c$ given by $u = x + 1_{\varepsilon A}$ and $v = 1_{\varepsilon' B} + y$, since $\|\tilde{u}\|_\infty \leq 1$, $v \in \Gamma_{1_{\varepsilon A}}$ and $supp(u) \cap supp(v) = \emptyset$. Therefore

$$\|x + 1_{\varepsilon A}\| = \|u\| \leq C\|u - P_A(u) + v\| = C\|x + 1_{\varepsilon' B} + y\|.$$

This finishes the proof. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Schauder basis of a Banach space $\mathbb{X}$, $x \in \mathbb{X}$ and a finite set A. Then*

$$\sup\{\|x + 1_{\varepsilon A}\| : |\varepsilon_n| = 1\} = \sup\{\|x + u\| : supp(u) = A, \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty \leq 1\}$$

and

$$\sup_{B\subset A} \|x + 1_B\| \leq \sup\{\|x + 1_{\varepsilon A}\| : |\varepsilon_n| = 1\} \leq 3 \sup_{B\subset A} \|x + 1_B\|.$$

Proof. Denote

$$I_1 = \sup_{B\subset A} \|x + 1_B\|,$$

$$I_2 = \sup\{\|x + 1_{\varepsilon A}\| : |\varepsilon_n| = 1\},$$

$$I_3 = \sup\{\|x + u\| : supp(u) = A, \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty \leq 1\}.$$

Of course $I_1 \leq I_2$ since each $B \subseteq A$ can be written as $1_B = \frac{1}{2}\big(1_A + (1_B - 1_{A\setminus B})\big)$.

On the other hand $I_2 \leq I_3$ follows trivially selecting $u = 1_{\varepsilon A}$. The other inequality $I_2 \geq I_3$ follows using the same argument as in Proposition 3.2 since any $u \in \mathbb{X}$ with $\|\tilde{u}\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $supp(u) = A$ satisfies that $u = \sum_{j\in A} e_j^*(y) e_j \in co(\{1_{\varepsilon A} : |\varepsilon_n| = 1)$.

For the remaining inequality, denote $A^+ := \{j \in A : \varepsilon_j = 1\}$ and $A^- := \{j \in A : \varepsilon_j = -1\}$. Since $1_{\varepsilon A} = 1_{A^+} - 1_{A^-}$, with $A^+, A^- \subset A$, we can write $x + 1_{\varepsilon A} = 2(x + 1_{A^+}) - (1_A + x)$ and therefore $\|x + 1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq 3I_3$ and we obtain $I_2 \leq 3I_3$. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{B}$ a Schauder basis of $\mathbb{X}$. $\mathcal{B}$ has Property (Q) if and only if $\mathcal{B}$ has Property (Q^*).*

Proof. Of course Property (Q^*) implies Property (Q). Assume that $\mathcal{B}$ has the Property (Q). In particular

$$\|P_M(z)\| \leq C\|z\|,\ z \in \mathbb{X}_c,\ |M| < \infty. \tag{19}$$

Let $|\varepsilon_n| = |\varepsilon'_n| = 1$, $|A| = |B|$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{X}_c$ with $xy = 0$, $\|\tilde{x}\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $supp(x+y) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$. By (19) and Property (Q), for each $A' \subset A$

$$\|x + 1_{A'}\| \leq C\|x + 1_A\| \leq C^2\|x + y + 1_B\|,\ A' \subset A. \tag{20}$$

Applying Lemma 3.3, together with (19) and (20), we obtain, for $1_{\varepsilon' B} = 1_{B^+} - 1_{B^-}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + 1_{\varepsilon A}\| &\leq 3 \sup_{A' \subset A} \|x + 1_{A'}\| \leq 3C^2\|x + y + 1_B\| \\ &\leq 3C^2(\|x + y + 1_{B^+}\| + \|1_{B^-}\|) \\ &\leq 6C^3\|x + y + 1_{\varepsilon' B}\|. \end{aligned}$$

This shows (17) and therefore $\mathcal{B}$ has Property (Q^*) invoking Proposition 3.2. □

Let us mention the following result whose proof is borrowed from [3].

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a C-suppression unconditional basis of $\mathbb{X}$. Let $x \in \mathbb{X}_c$, $A \subseteq supp(x)$ and $\varepsilon_n = \frac{e_n^*(x)}{|e_n^*(x)|}$ for $n \in A$. Then*

$$\|\sum_{n \in B} e_n^*(x)e_n + t1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq C\|x\| \tag{21}$$

for each $B \subset supp(x) \setminus A$ and $t \leq \min\{|e_n^*(x)| : n \in A\}$.

Proof. Given $B \subset supp(x) \setminus A$ and $t \leq \min\{|e_n^*(x)| : n \in A\}$ we define

$$f_{t,B}(s) = \sum_{n \in B} e_n^*(x)e_n + \sum_{n \in A} \chi_{[0, \frac{t}{|e_n^*(x)|}]}(s)e_n^*(x)e_n \in \mathbb{X}_c, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1.$$

Note that $f_{t,B}(s) = P_{A_s}x$, and then we have that $\|f_{t,B}(s)\| \leq C\|x\|$ and

$$\sum_{n \in B} e_n^*(x)e_n + t1_{\varepsilon A} = \int_0^1 f_{t,B}(s)ds.$$

Hence using vector-valued Minkowski's inequality (21) is achieved. □

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{B}$ a Schauder basis of $\mathbb{X}$.*
(i) If there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq C\mathcal{D}^*{}_m(x),\ \forall x \in \mathbb{X},\ \forall m \in \mathbb{N},$$

then $\mathcal{B}$ has Property (Q^*) with constant C.
(ii) If $\mathcal{B}$ has Property (Q^*) with constant C then

$$\|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq C^2\sigma_m(x),\ \forall x \in \mathbb{X},\ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. (i) Due to the equivalences in Proposition 3.2 we shall show (17). Let us take $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \{\pm 1\}$, $|A| = |B|$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{X}_c$ such that $xy = 0$, $\|\tilde{x}\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $supp(x+y) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$. Let us write $F = supp(y)$, $\eta_n = \frac{e_n^*(y)}{|e_n^*(y)|}$ for $n \in F$ and define, for each $\delta > 0$,

$$z = 1_{\varepsilon A} + x + y + 1_{\eta F} + (1 + \delta)1_{\varepsilon' B}.$$

Using that $|e_n^*(y+1_{\eta F})| = |\eta_n + e_n^*(y)| = |e_n^*(y)|(1+\frac{1}{|e_n^*(y)|}) \geq 1$ for each $n \in F$ we have $\mathcal{G}_m(z) = (1+\delta)1_{\varepsilon' B} + y + 1_{\eta F}$ where $m = |B| + |F|$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|1_{\varepsilon A} + x\| &= \|z - \mathcal{G}_m(z)\| \\ &\leq C\mathcal{D}_m^*(z) \leq C\|z - 1_{\varepsilon A} - 1_{\eta F}\| \\ &= C\|x + y + (1+\delta)1_{\varepsilon' B}\| \\ &\leq C\|x + y + 1_{\varepsilon' B}\| + \delta m C. \end{aligned}$$

Now taking limit as δ goes to 0 one gets (17).

(ii) By density and homogeneity, it suffices to prove the result when x is finitely supported with $\|\tilde{x}\|_\infty \leq 1$. Let $x \in \mathbb{X}_c$, $\|\tilde{x}\|_\infty \leq 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $b \in [e_n : n \in A]$ with $|A| = m$. Select B with $|B| = m$ and $\mathcal{G}_m(x) = P_B(x)$.

Set $t = \min\{|e_n^*(x)| : n \in B \setminus A\}$ and set $\varepsilon_n = \frac{e_n^*(x)}{|e_n^*(x)|}$ for $n \in supp(x)$.

Since $t \geq \|\widetilde{x - P_B(x)}\|_\infty$ we can apply (18) for $x - P_B(x)$, the set $A \setminus B$, $y = 1_{\varepsilon(B\setminus A)}$ to obtain

$$\|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq C\|x - P_B(x) - P_{A\setminus B}(x) + t1_{\varepsilon(B\setminus A)}\| = C\|P_{(A\cup B)^c}(x-b) + t1_{\varepsilon(B\setminus A)}\|.$$

Finally, since $t \leq |e_n^*(x-b)|$ for $n \in B \setminus A$, applying Proposition 3.5 one gets

$$\|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq C^2\|x - b\|.$$

This gives that $\|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq C^2\sigma_m(x)$ and the proof is complete. □

Acknowledgment: The authors are indebted to F. Albiac and J.L. Ansorena for providing the manuscript [2] and to G. Garrigós for useful conversations during the elaboration of this paper.

References

[1] F.Albiac, J.L. Ansorena,*Characterization of 1-quasi greedy bases*, J. Approx. Theory. (to appear)
[2] F.Albiac, J.L. Ansorena,*Characterization of 1-almost greedy bases*, arXiv: 1506.03397v2 [math.FA] 17 Aug 2015.
[3] F.Albiac, P.Wojtaszczyk,*Characterization of 1-greedy bases*, J. Approx. Theory,**138** (2006), no.1, 65-86.
[4] S.J. Dilworth, D. Kutzarova, E. Odell, T. Schlumprecht, A. Zsak,*Renorming spaces with greedy bases*, J. Approx. Theory **188** (2014), 39-56.
[5] S.J. Dilworth, N.J. Kalton, D. Kutzarova, V.N. Temlyakov,*The thresholding greedy algorithm, greedy bases, and duality*, Constr.Approx.**19** (2003), no.4, 575-597.
[6] G. Garrigós, E. Hernández, T. Oikhberg,*Lebesgue-type inequalities for quasi-greedy bases*, Constr.Approx. **38** (2013), no.3, 447-470.
[7] S.V.Konyagin, V.N.Temlyakov,*A remark on greedy approximation in Banach spaces*, East J. Approx. **5** (1999), 365-379.
[8] V.N.Temlyakov,*Greedy approximation*, Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics, vol.20, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011.
[9] P.Wojtaszczyk,*Greedy algorithm for general biorthogonal systems*, J.Approx.Theory **107** (2000), no.2, 293-314.
[10] P.Wojtaszczyk,*Greedy type bases in Banach spaces*, Constructive theory of functions, 136-155, DARBA, Sofia, 2003.

Instituto de Matemática Pura y Aplicada, Universitat Politècnica de València, Valencia, 46022, Spain.
E-mail address: pmbl1991@gmail.com

Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universitat de València, Campus de Burjassot, Valencia, 46100, Spain.
E-mail address: oscar.blasco@uv.es